arXiv:1205.4658v1 [math.AP] 21 May 2012

Existence and Upper Semicontinuity of Attractors for Stochastic Equations with Deterministic Non-autonomous Terms

Bixiang Wang

Department of Mathematics
New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology
Socorro, NM 87801, USA

Email: bwang@nmt.edu

Abstract

We prove the existence and uniqueness of tempered random attractors for stochastic Reaction-Diffusion equations on unbounded domains with multiplicative noise and deterministic non-autonomous forcing. We establish the periodicity of the tempered attractors when the stochastic equations are forced by periodic functions. We further prove the upper semicontinuity of these attractors when the intensity of stochastic perturbations approaches zero.

Key words. Pullback attractor; periodic attractor; random complete solution; upper semicontinuity; unbounded domain.

MSC 2000. Primary 35B40. Secondary 35B41, 37L30.

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the existence and upper semicontinuity of tempered attractors for stochastic Reaction-Diffusion equations on unbounded domains with deterministic non-autonomous forcing. Given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the following stochastic equation with multiplicative noise defined on $(\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \lambda u - \Delta u = f(x, u) + g(t, x) + \alpha u \circ \frac{d\omega}{dt}, \tag{1.1}$$

where λ and α are positive numbers, $g \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, f is a smooth nonlinearity, and ω is a two-sided real-valued Wiener process on a probability space. The stochastic equation (1.1) is understood in the sense of Stratonovich integration.

When the deterministic forcing g does not depend on time, we can define a random dynamical system for equation (1.1) over a probability space. The probability space is responsible for the stochastic perturbations and can be considered as a parametric space. The existence of random attractors for systems over a single probability space has been investigated by many experts in the literature, see e.g., [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 22, 26, 27, 32, 35] and the references therein. The reader is also referred to [1, 17, 18, 29, 31] for the existence of random invariant manifolds. In this paper, we study random attractors of equation (1.1) when the deterministic forcing g is time dependent. In this case, we need to introduce two parametric spaces to describe the dynamics of the equation: one is responsible for deterministic forcing and the other is responsible for stochastic perturbations. The existence of random attractors for systems over two parametric spaces have been recently established in [36], where the structures of attractors are characterized by random complete solutions. In the sequel, we prove the stochastic equation (1.1) has a tempered random attractor in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when g is a general function depending on time. We then show the tempered attractor is actually periodic in time if g is time periodic. It is worth noticing that the existence of periodic random attractors was first established in [19] for a model of quasigeostrophic fluid.

A second goal of the present paper is to prove the upper semicontinuity of random attractors for equation (1.1) when the intensity α of noise approaches zero. This kind of continuity for attractors has been studied by many authors, see e.g., [11, 12, 23, 24, 25, 34] for deterministic attractors, and [6, 30, 37] for random attractors without deterministic non-autonomous forcing. We here want to prove the upper semicontinuity of random attractors of equation(1.1) when g is time dependent. As far as the author is aware, this paper is the first one dealing with continuity of random attractors for stochastic equations with deterministic non-autonomous forcing. We will first prove an abstract result and then establish the upper semicontinuity of tempered attractors for (1.1) with time dependent g.

Note that the stochastic equation (1.1) is defined on the entire space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since Sobolev embeddings are not compact on unbounded domains, we have an extra difficulty to prove the upper semicontinuity of attractors in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will overcome this difficulty by using uniform estimates on the tails of functions in random attractors as in [37]. More precisely, we prove all functions belonging to tempered attractors are uniformly small outside a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for sufficiently small noise intensity α.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In the next section, we borrow some results regarding pullback attractors for random dynamical systems over two parametric spaces. In Section 3, we prove an abstract result on the upper semicontinuity of pullback attractors parametrized by some variables. Section 4 is devoted to the existence of a continuous cocycle in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the stochastic equation (1.1), and Section 5 contains all uniform estimates including those on the tails of solutions. We finally prove the existence and uniqueness of tempered attractors for (1.1) in Section 6, and establish the upper semicontinuity of the attractors in Section 7.

2 Preliminaries

For the reader's convenience, in this section, we recall the theory of pullback attractors for random dynamical systems over two parametric spaces. All results presented here are not original and can be found in [36]. The reader is also referred to [4, 15, 16, 20, 32] for random attractors over one parametric space, and to [2, 3, 23, 33, 34] for deterministic attractors.

Hereafter, we assume that (X, d) is a complete separable metric space, Ω_1 is a nonempty set, and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P)$ is a probability space. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\theta_{1,t} : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_1$ be a mapping. We say $(\Omega_1, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ is a parametric dynamical system if $\theta_{1,0}$ is the identity on Ω_1 and $\theta_{1,s+t} = \theta_{1,t,}\circ\theta_{1,s}$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\theta_2 : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega_2 \to \Omega_2$ be a $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$-measurable mapping. We say $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \theta_2)$ is a parametric dynamical system if $\theta_2(0, \cdot)$ is the identity on Ω_2, $\theta_2(s + t, \cdot) = \theta_2(t, \cdot) \circ \theta_2(s, \cdot)$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $P\theta_2(t, \cdot) = P$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For convenience, we write $\theta_2(t, \cdot)$ as $\theta_{2,t}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and write $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \theta_2)$ as $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$.

Definition 2.1. Let $K : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \to 2^X$ be a set-valued mapping. We say K is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_2$ in Ω_2 if the value $K(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is a closed nonempty subset of X for all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, and the mapping $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 \to d(x, K(\omega_1, \omega_2))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_2,\ \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$-measurable for every fixed $x \in X$ and $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$. If K is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_2$ in Ω_2, we also say the family $\{K(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\}$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_2$ in Ω_2.

Definition 2.2. Let $(\Omega_1, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ be parametric dynamical systems. A mapping Φ: $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times X \to X$ is called a continuous cocycle on X over $(\Omega_1, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ if for all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$, $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ and $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the following conditions (i)-(iv) are satisfied:

(i) $\Phi(\cdot, \omega_1, \cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega_2 \times X \to X$ is $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \times \mathcal{F}_2 \times \mathcal{B}(X),\ \mathcal{B}(X))$-measurable;

(ii) $\Phi(0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \cdot)$ is the identity on X;

(iii) $\Phi(t + \tau, \omega_1, \omega_2, \cdot) = \Phi(t, \theta_{1,\tau}\omega_1, \theta_{2,\tau}\omega_2, \cdot) \circ \Phi(\tau, \omega_1, \omega_2, \cdot)$;

(iv) $\Phi(t, \omega_1, \omega_2, \cdot) : X \to X$ is continuous.

If, in addition, there exists a positive number T such that for every $t \geq 0$, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$\Phi(t, \theta_{1,T}\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdot) = \Phi(t, \omega_1, \omega_2, \cdot),$$

then Φ is called a continuous periodic cocycle on X with period T.

Throughout the rest of this section, we assume that Φ is a continuous cocycle on X over $(\Omega_1, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$. We will use $\mathcal{D}$ to denote a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X:

$$\mathcal{D} = \{D = \{D(\omega_1, \omega_2) \subseteq X : \ D(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset, \ \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \ \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\}\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Two elements D_1 and D_2 of $\mathcal{D}$ are said to be equal if $D_1(\omega_1, \omega_2) = D_2(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ for all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$.

Definition 2.3. Let $D = \{D(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\}$ be a family of nonempty subsets of X. We say D is tempered in X with respect to $(\Omega_1, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ if there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that for every $c > 0$, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} e^{ct} d(x_0, D(\theta_{1,t}\omega_1, \theta_{2,t}\omega_2)) = 0.$$

Definition 2.4. A collection $\mathcal{D}$ of some families of nonempty subsets of X is said to be neighborhood closed if for each $D = \{D(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a positive number ε depending on D such that the family

$$\{B(\omega_1, \omega_2) : B(\omega_1, \omega_2) \text{ is a nonempty subset of } \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon(D(\omega_1, \omega_2)), \forall \ \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \forall \ \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \tag{2.2}$$

also belongs to $\mathcal{D}$.

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X. A mapping $\psi : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \to X$ is called a complete orbit of Φ if for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, the following holds:

$$\Phi(t, \theta_{1,\tau}\omega_1, \theta_{2,\tau}\omega_2, \psi(\tau, \omega_1, \omega_2)) = \psi(t + \tau, \omega_1, \omega_2). \tag{2.3}$$

If, in addition, there exists $D = \{D(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_1 \in \Omega, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\psi(t, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ belongs to $D(\theta_{1,t}\omega_1, \theta_{2,t}\omega_2)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, then ψ is called a $\mathcal{D}$-complete orbit of Φ.

Definition 2.6. Let $B = \{B(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\ \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\}$ be a family of nonempty subsets of X. For every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, let

$$\Omega(B, \omega_1, \omega_2) = \bigcap_{\tau \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq \tau} \Phi(t, \theta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \theta_{2,-t}\omega_2, B(\theta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \theta_{2,-t}\omega_2))}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then the family $\{\Omega(B, \omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\}$ is called the Ω-limit set of B and is denoted by $\Omega(B)$.

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X and $K = \{K(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\ \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$. Then K is called a $\mathcal{D}$-pullback absorbing set for Φ if for all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$, $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ and for every $B \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $T = T(B, \omega_1, \omega_2) > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(t, \theta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \theta_{2,-t}\omega_2, B(\theta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \theta_{2,-t}\omega_2)) \subseteq K(\omega_1, \omega_2) \quad \text{for all } t \geq T. \tag{2.5}$$

If, in addition, for all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, $K(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is a closed nonempty subset of X and K is measurable with respect to the P-completion of $\mathcal{F}_2$ in Ω_2, then we say K is a closed measurable $\mathcal{D}$-pullback absorbing set for Φ.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X. Then Φ is said to be $\mathcal{D}$-pullback asymptotically compact in X if for all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, the sequence

$$\{\Phi(t_n, \theta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \theta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2, x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ has a convergent subsequence in } X \tag{2.6}$$

whenever $t_n \to \infty$, and $x_n \in B(\theta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \theta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2)$ with $\{B(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\ \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$.

Definition 2.9. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X and $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is called a $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor for Φ if the following conditions (i)-(iii) are fulfilled:

(i) $\mathcal{A}$ is measurable with respect to the P-completion of $\mathcal{F}_2$ in Ω_2 and $\mathcal{A}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is compact for all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$.

(ii) $\mathcal{A}$ is invariant, that is, for every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$\Phi(t, \omega_1, \omega_2, \mathcal{A}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \mathcal{A}(\theta_{1,t}\omega_1, \theta_{2,t}\omega_2), \quad \forall\ t \geq 0.$$

(iii) $\mathcal{A}$ attracts every member of $\mathcal{D}$, that is, for every $B = \{B(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$ and for every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} d(\Phi(t, \theta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \theta_{2,-t}\omega_2, B(\theta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \theta_{2,-t}\omega_2)), \mathcal{A}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = 0.$$

If, in addition, there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(\theta_{1,T}\omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathcal{A}(\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad \forall\ \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \forall\ \omega_2 \in \Omega_2,$$

then we say $\mathcal{A}$ is periodic with period T.

We borrow the following result from [36] regarding the existence and uniqueness of $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractors. Similar results for random systems can be found in [4, 16, 20, 32].

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a neighborhood closed collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X, and Φ be a continuous cocycle on X over $(\Omega_1, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$. Then Φ has a $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ if and only if Φ is $\mathcal{D}$-pullback asymptotically compact in X and Φ has a closed measurable (w.r.t. the P-completion of $\mathcal{F}_2$) $\mathcal{D}$-pullback absorbing set K in $\mathcal{D}$. The $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor $\mathcal{A}$ is unique and is given by, for each $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,*

$$\mathcal{A}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \Omega(K, \omega_1, \omega_2) = \bigcup_{B\in\mathcal{D}} \Omega(B, \omega_1, \omega_2) \tag{2.7}$$

$$= \{\psi(0, \omega_1, \omega_2) : \psi \textit{ is a } \mathcal{D}-\textit{complete orbit of } \Phi\}. \tag{2.8}$$

For the periodicity of $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractors, we have the following result [36].

Proposition 2.11. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a neighborhood closed collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X. Suppose Φ is a continuous periodic cocycle with period $T > 0$ on X over $(\Omega_1, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$. Suppose further that Φ has a $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is periodic with period T if and only if Φ has a closed measurable (w.r.t. the P-completion of $\mathcal{F}_2$) $\mathcal{D}$-pullback absorbing set $K \in \mathcal{D}$ with K being periodic with period T.*

Note that a family $K = \{K(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$ is periodic with period T if $K(\theta_{1,T}\omega_1, \omega_2) = K(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ for all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$. In the next section, we discuss the continuity of pullback attractors when a parameter varies.

3 Upper Semicontinuity of Random Attractors

In this section, we discuss the upper semicontinuity of pullback attractors of a family of cocycles on a Banach space X. Suppose Λ is a metric space. Given $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let Φ_λ be a continuous cocycle on X over $(\Omega_1, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$. Suppose there exists $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$, $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, $\lambda_n \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_n \to \lambda_0$, and x_n, $x \in X$ with $x_n \to x$, the following holds:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi_{\lambda_n}(t, \omega_1, \omega_2, x_n) = \Phi_{\lambda_0}(t, \omega_1, \omega_2, x). \tag{3.1}$$

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ be a collection of families of nonempty subsets of X. Suppose there exists a map $R_{\lambda_0} : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the family

$$B = \{B(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \{x \in X : \|x\|_X \le R_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2)\} : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}. \tag{3.2}$$

Suppose further that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, Φ_λ has a $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$-pullback attractor $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$ and a $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$-pullback absorbing set $K_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$ such that for all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$\limsup_{\lambda \to \lambda_0} \|K_\lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|_X \le R_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2), \tag{3.3}$$

where R_{λ_0} is as in (3.2) and $\|S\|_X = \sup_{x \in S} \|x\|_X$ for a subset S of X. We finally assume that for every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_\lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2) \text{ is precompact in } X. \tag{3.4}$$

We now present the upper semicontinuity of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ at $\lambda = \lambda_0$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose* (3.1)-(3.4) *hold. Then for every* $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ *and* $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$*,*

$$\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2), \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \lambda_0. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Suppose (3.5) is false. Then there exist a positive number η and a sequence $\lambda_n \to \lambda_0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_n}(\omega_1, \omega_2), \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) \ge 2\eta. \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.6) we find that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $x_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_n}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}(x_n, \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) \ge \eta \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.4) we get that there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x_0. \tag{3.8}$$

We now prove $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$. Let $\{t_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $t_m \to \infty$. Fix $m = 1$. Then by the invariance of $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a sequence $\{x_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $x_{1,n} \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_n}(\theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x_n = \Phi_{\lambda_n}(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2, x_{1,n}). \tag{3.9}$$

Since $x_{1,n} \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_n}(\theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get from (3.4) that there exist $z_1 \in X$ and a subsequence of $\{x_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ (which we do not relabel) such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_{1,n} = z_1. \tag{3.10}$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.10)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi_{\lambda_n}(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2, x_{1,n}) = \Phi_{\lambda_0}(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2, z_1). \tag{3.11}$$

By (3.8)-(3.9) and (3.11) we obtain

$$x_0 = \Phi_{\lambda_0}(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2, z_1). \tag{3.12}$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_n}(\theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2) \subseteq K_{\lambda_n}(\theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2)$ and $x_{1,n} \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_n}(\theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by (3.3) we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|x_{1,n}\|_X \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|K_{\lambda_n}(\theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2)\|_X \le R_{\lambda_0}(\theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2). \tag{3.13}$$

By (3.10) and (3.13) we get $\|z_1\|_X \le R_{\lambda_0}(\theta_{-t_1}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega_2)$. By induction, for every $m \ge 1$, we find that there exists $z_m \in X$ such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x_0 = \Phi_{\lambda_0}(t_m, \theta_{-t_m}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_m}\omega_2, z_m), \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\|z_m\|_X \le R_{\lambda_0}(\theta_{-t_m}\omega_1, \theta_{-t_m}\omega_2). \tag{3.15}$$

By (3.2) and the attraction property of $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}$, we obtain from (3.14)-(3.15) that

$$\operatorname{dist}(x_0, \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \operatorname{dist}(\Phi_{\lambda_0}(t_m, \theta_{1,-t_m}\omega_1, \theta_{2,-t_m}\omega_2, z_m),\ \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2))$$

$$\le \operatorname{dist}(\Phi_{\lambda_0}(t_m, \theta_{1,-t_m}\omega_1, \theta_{2,-t_m}\omega_2, B(\theta_{1,-t_m}\omega_1, \theta_{2,-t_m}\omega_2)),\ \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) \to 0, \quad \text{as } m \to \infty.$$

This shows that $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ since $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is compact. Thus, by (3.8) we get

$$\operatorname{dist}(x_n, \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) \le \operatorname{dist}(x_n, x_0) \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

This is in contradiction with (3.7) and hence proves (3.5). □

Next, we consider two special cases of Theorem 3.1 where the limiting cocycle Φ_{λ_0} is an autonomous system or a deterministic non-autonomous system. Both cases are interesting in their own right and deserve further discussions. Suppose $\Phi_{\lambda_0} : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times X \to X$ is a continuous cocycle. If Φ_{λ_0} is constant in $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, then we can drop the dependence of Φ_{λ_0} on ω_2 and call such Φ_{λ_0} a deterministic non-autonomous cocycle. In other words, a mapping $\Phi_{\lambda_0} : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega_1 \times X \to X$ is a continuous non-autonomous cocycle on X over $(\Omega_1, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ if Φ_{λ_0} satisfies the following conditions: for every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

(i) $\Phi(0, \omega_1, \cdot)$ is the identity on X;

(ii) $\Phi(t + \tau, \omega_1, \cdot) = \Phi(t, \theta_{1,\tau}\omega_1, \cdot) \circ \Phi(\tau, \omega_1, \cdot)$;

(iii) $\Phi(t, \omega_1, \cdot) : X \to X$ is continuous.

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}$ be a collection of families of nonempty subsets of X given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0} = \{D = \{D(\omega_1) \neq \emptyset : D(\omega_1) \subseteq X, \omega_1 \in \Omega_1\}\}.$$

A family $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0} \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}$ of compact subsets of X is called a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}$-pullback attractor of Φ_{λ_0} if $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}$ pullback attracts every member of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}$ and $\Phi_{\lambda_0}(t, \omega_1, \mathcal{A}(\omega_1)) = \mathcal{A}(\theta_{1,t}\omega_1)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$. As in the random case, we assume there exists a map $\overline{R}_{\lambda_0} : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the family

$$B = \{B(\omega_1) = \{x \in X : \|x\|_X \leq \overline{R}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1)\} : \omega_1 \in \Omega_1\} \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}, \tag{3.16}$$

Let $K_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$ be a $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$-pullback absorbing set of Φ_λ which satisfies, for all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$\limsup_{\lambda\to\lambda_0} \|K_\lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|_X \leq \overline{R}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1). \tag{3.17}$$

Note that for a deterministic non-autonomous cocycle Φ_{λ_0}, condition (3.1) becomes the following, for each $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi_{\lambda_n}(t, \omega_1, \omega_2, x_n) = \Phi_{\lambda_0}(t, \omega_1, x), \tag{3.18}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\lambda_n \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_n \to \lambda_0$, and x_n, $x \in X$ with $x_n \to x$. Replacing conditions (3.1)-(3.3) by (3.16)-(3.18), we get the following convergence result from Theorem 3.1 when Φ_{λ_0} is a deterministic non-autonomous cocycle.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose* (3.4) *and* (3.16)-(3.18) *hold. Then for every* $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ *and* $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$*,*

$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2), \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\omega_1)) \to 0, \quad \textit{as} \quad \lambda \to \lambda_0.$$

Proof. This theorem can be considered as a special case of Theorem 3.1 where Φ_{λ_0}, $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}$ are all constant with respect to $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$. On the other hand, we can also prove Theorem 3.2 directly by following the proof of Theorem 3.1 with minor changes. The details are omitted. □

We now consider the case where Φ_{λ_0} is an autonomous cocycle, i.e., Φ_{λ_0} is constant in both ω_1 and ω_2. In this case, a nonempty compact subset $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}$ of X is called a global attractor of Φ_{λ_0} if $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}$ is invariant and attracts every bounded set uniformly. For an autonomous cocycle Φ_{λ_0}, condition (3.17) can be replaced by the following: there exists a positive number C such that for all $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$\limsup_{\lambda \to \lambda_0} \|K_\lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)\|_X \leq C. \tag{3.19}$$

We also assume that for every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi_{\lambda_n}(t, \omega_1, \omega_2, x_n) = \Phi_{\lambda_0}(t, x), \tag{3.20}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\lambda_n \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_n \to \lambda_0$, and x_n, $x \in X$ with $x_n \to x$. For an autonomous cocycle Φ_{λ_0}, we have the following convergence result.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose* (3.4) *and* (3.19)-(3.20) *hold. Then for every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,*

$$\mathrm{dist}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2), \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}) \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \lambda_0.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 3.2 and hence omitted. □

4 Stochastic Reaction-Diffusion Equations on $\mathbb{R}^n$

In the rest of this paper, we study the existence and upper semicontinuity of tempered pullback attractors for stochastic Reaction-Diffusion equations on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with deterministic non-autonomous terms as well as multiplicative noise. Given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > \tau$, consider the following equation defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \lambda u - \Delta u = f(x, u) + g(t, x) + \alpha u \circ \frac{d\omega}{dt}, \tag{4.1}$$

with initial condition

$$u(x, \tau) = u_\tau(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{4.2}$$

where λ and α are positive constants, $g \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, ω is a two-sided real-valued Wiener process on a probability space. Note that equation (4.1) is understood in the sense of Stratonovich integration. The nonlinearity f is a smooth function that satisfies, for some positive constants α_1, α_2 and α_3,

$$f(x, s)s \leq -\alpha_1 |s|^p + \psi_1(x), \quad \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall\, s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$|f(x, s)| \leq \alpha_2 |s|^{p-1} + \psi_2(x), \quad \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall\, s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(x,s) \le \alpha_3, \quad \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \ \forall\, s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,s)| \le \psi_3(x), \quad \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \ \forall\, s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.6}$$

where $\psi_1 \in L^1(R^n) \cap L^\infty(R^n)$ and $\psi_2, \psi_3 \in L^2(R^n)$. In this paper, we will use the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, where $\Omega = \{\omega \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : \omega(0) = 0\}$, $\mathcal{F}$ is the Borel σ-algebra induced by the compact-open topology of Ω, and P is the corresponding Wiener measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$. Define a group $\{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ acting on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ by

$$\theta_{2,t}\omega(\cdot) = \omega(\cdot + t) - \omega(t), \quad \omega \in \Omega, \ \ t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.7}$$

Then $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ is a parametric dynamical system. From [1] we know that there exists a $\theta_{2,t}$-invariant set $\tilde{\Omega} \subseteq \Omega$ of full P measure such that for each $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$,

$$\frac{\omega(t)}{t} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \ \ t \to \pm\infty. \tag{4.8}$$

In the sequel, we will write $\tilde{\Omega}$ as Ω for convenience. Let $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{R}$ and for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define a map $\theta_{1,t} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\theta_{1,t}(h) = h + t$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}$. We will define a continuous cocycle for equation (4.1) over $(\mathbb{R}, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$. To that end, we need to transform the stochastic equation into a deterministic non-autonomous one. Given $\omega \in \Omega$, let $z(t,\omega) = e^{-\alpha\omega(t)}$. Then z solves the following stochastic equation in the sense of Stratonovich integration:

$$\frac{dz}{dt} + \alpha z \circ \frac{d\omega}{dt} = 0. \tag{4.9}$$

Given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \ge \tau$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $u_\tau \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $u(t,\tau,\omega,v_\tau)$ satisfy (4.1) with initial condition u_τ at initial time τ. Then we introduce a new variable $v(t,\tau,\omega,v_\tau)$ by

$$v(t,\tau,\omega,v_\tau) = z(t,\omega)u(t,\tau,\omega,v_\tau) \ \ \text{with} \ \ v_\tau = z(\tau,\omega)u_\tau. \tag{4.10}$$

By (4.1)-(4.2) and (4.9) we get

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \lambda v - \Delta v = z(t,\omega) f\left(x, z^{-1}(t,\omega)v\right) + z(t,\omega) g(t,x), \tag{4.11}$$

with initial condition

$$v(x,\tau) = v_\tau(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.12}$$

Note that (4.11) is a deterministic equation which is parametrized by $\omega \in \Omega$. Therefore, by a standard argument (see, e.g., [2]), one can show that for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $v_\tau \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, problem (4.11)-(4.12) has a unique solution $v \in C([\tau,\infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \bigcap L^2_{loc}((\tau,\infty), H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$. In addition, this solution is continuous in v_τ with respect to the norm of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and is $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$-measurable in $\omega \in \Omega$. Based on this fact, we can define a cocycle $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for problem (4.1)-(4.2) by using (4.10). Given $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $u_\tau \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let

$$\Phi(t, \tau, \omega, u_\tau) = u(t+\tau, \tau, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, u_\tau) = \frac{1}{z(t+\tau, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)} v(t+\tau, \tau, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, v_\tau), \tag{4.13}$$

where $v_\tau = z(\tau, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)u_\tau$. By (4.13) one can check that for every $t \geq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\Phi(t+\tau, r, \omega, \cdot) = \Phi(t, \tau + r, \theta_{2,\tau}\omega, \cdot) \circ \Phi(\tau, r, \omega, \cdot).$$

By the measurability of v in $\omega \in \Omega$ and the continuity of v in initial data $v_\tau \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we see that Φ as defined by (4.13) is a continuous cocycle on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ over $(\mathbb{R}, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\theta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$. The rest of this paper is devoted to the existence and convergence of pullback attractors for Φ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For this purpose, we need to specify a collection $\mathcal{D}$ of families of subsets of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

As usual, for a bounded nonempty subset B of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we write $\|B\| = \sup\limits_{\psi\in B} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Suppose $D = \{D(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\}$ is a tempered family of bounded nonempty subsets of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is, for every $c > 0$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} e^{ct} \|D(\tau + t, \theta_{2,t}\omega)\| = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

From now on, we use $\mathcal{D}$ to denote the collection of all tempered families of bounded nonempty subsets of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D} = \{D = \{D(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} : \ D \text{ satisfies (4.14)}\}. \tag{4.15}$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}$ given by (4.15) is neighborhood closed. For the external forcing g we assume that there exists $\delta \in [0, \lambda)$ such that for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\delta s} \|g(s, \cdot)\|^2 ds < \infty. \tag{4.16}$$

Sometimes, the following tempered condition is also needed for g: there exists $\delta \in [0, \lambda)$ such that for every $c > 0$,

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} e^{ct} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\delta s} \|g(s+t, \cdot)\|^2 ds = 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Observe that condition (4.17) is stronger than (4.16) for $g \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and both conditions do not require that g is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ at $\pm\infty$.

5 Uniform Estimates of Solutions

In this section, we derive uniform estimates of solutions for problem (4.1)-(4.2). These estimates are needed to prove the existence and continuity of $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractors.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.16) *hold. Then for every* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ *and* $D = \{D(\tau,\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}$, *there exists* $T = T(\tau,\omega,D) > 0$ *such that for all* $t \geq T$, *the solution* v *of problem* (4.11)-(4.12) *with* ω *replaced by* $\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega$ *satisfies*

$$\|v(\tau,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 \leq cz^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)\left(1+\|g(s+\tau,\cdot)\|^2\right)ds$$

and

$$\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)}\left(\|v(s,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + z^2(s,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)\|u(s,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t})\|_p^p\right)ds$$

$$\leq cz^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)\left(1+\|g(s+\tau,\cdot)\|^2\right)ds,$$

where $v_{\tau-t} \in D(\tau-t,\theta_{2,-t}\omega)$ *and* c *is a positive constant independent of* τ, ω, D *and* α.

Proof. It follows from (4.11) that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|v\|^2 + \lambda\|v\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z(t,\omega)f(x,u)v\,dx + z(t,\omega)(g,v). \tag{5.1}$$

By (4.3), for the nonlinear term in (5.1) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z(t,\omega)f(x,u)v\,dx \leq -\alpha_1 z^2(t,\omega)\|u\|_p^p + z^2(t,\omega)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\psi_1 dx. \tag{5.2}$$

Note that the last term on the right-hand side of (5.1) is bounded by

$$z(t,\omega)|(g,v)| \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda\|v\|^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda}z^2(t,\omega)\|g\|^2. \tag{5.3}$$

It follows from (5.1)-(5.3) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|v\|^2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda\|v\|^2 + 2\|\nabla v\|^2 + 2\alpha_1 z^2(t,\omega)\|u\|_p^p \leq \frac{2}{\lambda}z^2(t,\omega)\|g\|^2 + c_1 z^2(t,\omega). \tag{5.4}$$

First multiplying (5.4) by $e^{\lambda t}$ and then integrating over $(\tau-t,\tau)$ with $t \geq 0$, we obtain, for every $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\|v(\tau,\tau-t,\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 + 2\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)}\|\nabla v(s,\tau-t,\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 ds$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\lambda\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)}\|v(s,\tau-t,\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2ds+2\alpha_1\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)}z^2(s,\omega)\|u(s,\tau-t,\omega,u_{\tau-t})\|_p^pds$$
$$\le e^{-\lambda t}\|v_{\tau-t}\|^2+\frac{2}{\lambda}e^{-\lambda\tau}\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)\|g(s,\cdot)\|^2ds+c_1\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)}z^2(s,\omega)ds.$$

Given $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\omega\in\Omega$, replacing ω by $\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega$ in the above, we obtain for all $t\in\mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\|v(\tau,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2+2\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)}\|\nabla v(s,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2ds$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\lambda\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)}\|v(s,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2+2\alpha_1\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)}z^2(s,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)\|u(s,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t})\|_p^p$$
$$\le e^{-\lambda t}\|v_{\tau-t}\|^2+\frac{2}{\lambda}e^{-\lambda\tau}\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)\|g(s,\cdot)\|^2ds+c_1\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)}z^2(s,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)ds$$
$$\le e^{-\lambda t}\|v_{\tau-t}\|^2+\frac{2}{\lambda}z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)\|g(s+\tau,\cdot)\|^2ds+c_1z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)ds. \tag{5.5}$$

By (4.8) and (4.16) one can check that the last two integrals on the right-hand side of (5.5) are well-defined. On the other hand, since $v_{\tau-t}\in D(\tau-t,\theta_{2,-t}\omega)$ and $D\in\mathcal{D}$, we see that there exists $T=T(\tau,\omega,D)>0$ such that for all $t\ge T$,

$$e^{-\lambda t}\|v_{\tau-t}\|^2\le e^{-\lambda t}\|D(\tau-t,\theta_{2,-t}\omega)\|^2\le z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)ds,$$

which along with (5.5) completes the proof. □

As a direct consequence of Lemma 5.1, we have the following estimates.

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.16) *hold. Then for every* $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$, $\omega\in\Omega$ *and* $D=\{D(\tau,\omega):\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega\}\in\mathcal{D}$, *there exists* $T=T(\tau,\omega,D)\ge 1$ *such that for all* $t\ge T$, *the solution* v *of problem* (4.11)-(4.12) *with* ω *replaced by* $\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega$ *satisfies*

$$\int_{\tau-1}^{\tau}\left(\|v(s,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}+z^2(s,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)\|u(s,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t})\|_p^p\right)ds$$
$$\le cz^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)\left(1+\|g(s+\tau,\cdot)\|^2\right)ds,$$

where $v_{\tau-t}\in D(\tau-t,\theta_{2,-t}\omega)$ *and* c *is a positive constant independent of* τ, ω, D *and* α.

Proof. This inequality follows from Lemma 5.1 and the fact $e^{\lambda(s-\tau)}\ge e^{-\lambda}$ for $\tau-1\le s\le\tau$. □

The following estimates are needed when we derive the convergence of pullback attractors.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.16) *hold. Then for every* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ *and* $v_\tau \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *the solution* v *of problem* (4.11)-(4.12) *satisfies, for all* $t \geq \tau$,

$$\|v(t,\tau,\omega,v_\tau)\|^2 + \int_\tau^t e^{\lambda(s-t)} \left(\|v(s,\tau,\omega,v_\tau)\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + z^2(s,\omega)\|u(s,\tau,\omega,u_\tau)\|_p^p \right) ds$$

$$\leq \|v_\tau\|^2 + c\int_\tau^t z^2(s,\omega)\|g(s,\cdot)\|^2 ds + c\int_\tau^t z^2(s,\omega) ds,$$

where c *is a positive constant independent of* τ, ω *and* α.

Proof. Multiplying (5.4) by $e^{\lambda t}$ and then integrating over (τ, t), we get,

$$\|v(t,\tau,\omega,v_\tau)\|^2 + 2\int_\tau^t e^{\lambda(s-t)}\|\nabla v(s,\tau,\omega,v_\tau)\|^2 ds$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\lambda\int_\tau^t e^{\lambda(s-t)}\|v(s,\tau,\omega,v_\tau)\|^2 ds + 2\alpha_1\int_\tau^t e^{\lambda(s-t)} z^2(s,\omega)\|u(s,\tau,\omega,u_\tau)\|_p^p ds$$

$$\leq e^{\lambda(\tau-t)}\|v_\tau\|^2 + \frac{2}{\lambda}\int_\tau^t e^{\lambda(s-t)} z^2(s,\omega)\|g(s,\cdot)\|^2 ds + c_1\int_\tau^t e^{\lambda(s-t)} z^2(s,\omega) ds$$

$$\leq \|v_\tau\|^2 + \frac{2}{\lambda}\int_\tau^t z^2(s,\omega)\|g(s,\cdot)\|^2 ds + c_1\int_\tau^t z^2(s,\omega) ds.$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.16) *hold. Then for every* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ *and* $D = \{D(\tau,\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}$, *there exists* $T = T(\tau,\omega,D) \geq 1$ *such that for all* $t \geq T$, *the solution* v *of problem* (4.11)-(4.12) *with* ω *replaced by* $\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega$ *satisfies*

$$\|\nabla v(\tau,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 \leq cz^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s} z^2(s,\omega)\left(1 + \|g(s+\tau,\cdot)\|^2\right) ds,$$

where $v_{\tau-t} \in D(\tau-t,\theta_{2,-t}\omega)$ *and* c *is a positive constant independent of* τ, ω, D *and* α.

Proof. Multiplying (4.11) by Δv and then integrating over $\mathbb{R}^n$ we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v\|^2 + \lambda\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 = -z(t,\omega)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x,u)\Delta v dx - z(t,\omega)(g,\Delta v). \tag{5.6}$$

By (4.5)-(4.6), the first term on the right-hand side of (5.6) satisfies

$$-z(t,\omega)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x,u)\ \Delta v dx = z(t,\omega)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,u)\ \nabla v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(x,u)\ |\nabla v|^2 dx$$

$$\leq z(t,\omega)\|\psi_3\|\|\nabla v\| + \alpha_3\|\nabla v\|^2 \leq \left(\alpha_3 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\|\nabla v\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}z^2(t,\omega)\|\psi_3\|^2. \tag{5.7}$$

For the last term on the right-hand side of (5.6) we have

$$|-z(t,\omega)(g,\Delta v)| \le \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta v\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}z^2(t,\omega)\|g\|^2. \tag{5.8}$$

By (5.6)-(5.8) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v\|^2 + 2\lambda\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 \le (1+2\alpha_3)\|\nabla v\|^2 + z^2(t,\omega)\|\psi_3\|^2 + z^2(t,\omega)\|g\|^2.$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v\|^2 \le c_1\|\nabla v\|^2 + z^2(t,\omega)\|g\|^2 + c_1 z^2(t,\omega). \tag{5.9}$$

Given $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, let $s \in (\tau-1,\tau)$. By integrating (5.9) over (s,τ) we get

$$\|\nabla v(\tau,\tau-t,\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 \le \|\nabla v(s,\tau-t,\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2$$
$$+c_1\int_s^\tau \|\nabla v(\xi,\tau-t,\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 d\xi + \int_s^\tau z^2(\xi,\omega)\|g(\xi,\cdot)\|^2 d\xi + \int_s^\tau z^2(\xi,\omega)d\xi.$$

Integrating again with respect to s on $(\tau-1,\tau)$, we obtain

$$\|\nabla v(\tau,\tau-t,\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 \le (1+c_1)\int_{\tau-1}^\tau \|\nabla v(\xi,\tau-t,\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 d\xi + \int_{\tau-1}^\tau z^2(\xi,\omega)\|g(\xi,\cdot)\|^2 d\xi + \int_{\tau-1}^\tau z^2(\xi,\omega)d\xi.$$

Replacing ω by $\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega$ in the above, we find

$$\|\nabla v(\tau,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 \le (1+c_1)\int_{\tau-1}^\tau \|\nabla v(s,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 ds$$
$$+\int_{\tau-1}^\tau z^2(s,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)\|g(s,\cdot)\|^2 ds + \int_{\tau-1}^\tau z^2(s,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)ds. \tag{5.10}$$

Note that for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\int_{\tau-1}^\tau z^2(s,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)ds = z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{\tau-1}^\tau z^2(s-\tau,\omega)ds = z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-1}^0 z^2(s,\omega)ds$$
$$\le e^\lambda z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-1}^0 e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)ds \le e^\lambda z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)ds. \tag{5.11}$$

Similarly, one can check

$$\int_{\tau-1}^\tau z^2(s,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)\|g(s,\cdot)\|^2 ds \le e^\lambda z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)\|g(s+\tau,\cdot)\|^2 ds. \tag{5.12}$$

By (5.10)-(5.12) we obtain, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\|\nabla v(\tau,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 \le (1+c_1)\int_{\tau-1}^\tau \|\nabla v(s,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2 ds$$
$$+e^\lambda z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)ds + e^\lambda z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s}z^2(s,\omega)\|g(s+\tau,\cdot)\|^2 ds,$$

which along with Corollary 5.2 completes the proof. □

In order to establish the $\mathcal{D}$-pullback asymptotic compactness of problem (4.1)-(4.2), we need to derive the uniform estimates on the tails of solutions as given below.

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.16) *hold. Let* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ *and* $D = \{D(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}$. *Then for every* $\eta > 0$, *there exist* $T = T(\tau, \omega, D, \eta) \geq 1$ *and* $K = K(\tau, \omega, \eta) \geq 1$ *such that for all* $t \geq T$, *the solution* v *of equation* (4.11) *with* ω *replaced by* $\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega$ *satisfies*

$$\int_{|x| \geq K} |v(\tau, \tau - t, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau-t})(x)|^2 dx \leq \eta, \tag{5.13}$$

where $v_{\tau-t} \in D(\tau - t, \theta_{2,-t}\omega)$.

Proof. Let ρ be a smooth function defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that $0 \leq \rho(s) \leq 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and

$$\rho(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 1; \\ 1 & \text{for } s \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

By (4.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) |v|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) |v|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) v \Delta v dx \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) z(t, \omega) f(x, u) v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) z(t, \omega) g v dx. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) v \Delta v dx \leq - \int_{k \leq |x| \leq \sqrt{2}k} v \rho'(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) \frac{2x}{k^2} \cdot \nabla v dx \leq \frac{c_1}{k} (\|v\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2). \tag{5.15}$$

For the first term on the right-hand side of (5.14), by (4.3) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) z(t, \omega) f(x, u) v dx \leq -\alpha_1 z^2(t, \omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) |u|^p dx + z^2(t, \omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) \psi_1 dx. \tag{5.16}$$

For the last term on the right-hand side of (5.14) we have

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) z(t, \omega) g v dx| \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) |v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\lambda} z^2(t, \omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) g^2(t, x) dx. \tag{5.17}$$

By (5.14)-(5.17) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) |v|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) |v|^2 dx \leq \frac{c_2}{k} \|v\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + c_2 z^2(t, \omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) \left(|\psi_1| + g^2 \right) dx. \tag{5.18}$$

Note that $\psi_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, given $\eta > 0$, there exists $K_1 = K_1(\eta) \geq 1$ such that for all $k \geq K_1$,

$$c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) |\psi_1| dx = c_2 \int_{|x| \geq k} |\psi_1| \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) dx \leq \eta. \tag{5.19}$$

By (5.18)-(5.19) we find that there exists $K_2 = K_2(\eta) \geq K_1$ such that for all $k \geq K_2$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2})|v|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2})|v|^2 dx \leq \eta \|v\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \eta z^2(t,\omega) + c_2 z^2(t,\omega)\int_{|x|\geq k} g^2(t,x)dx.$$

By the Gronwall inequality, we get for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $k \geq K_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2})|v(\tau,\tau-t,\omega,v_{\tau-t})|^2 dx - e^{-\lambda t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2})|v_{\tau-t}|^2 dx \\
&\leq \eta \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)} \|v(s,\tau-t,\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds + \eta \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)} z^2(s,\omega) ds \\
&\quad + c_2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau}\int_{|x|\geq k} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)} z^2(s,\omega) g^2(s,x) dx ds. \qquad (5.20)
\end{aligned}$$

Since (5.20) is valid for every $\omega \in \Omega$, we can replace ω by $\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega$ to get, for $k \geq K_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2})|v(\tau,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})|^2 dx - e^{-\lambda t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2})|v_{\tau-t}|^2 dx \\
&\leq \eta \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)} \|v(s,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds + \eta \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)} z^2(s,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega) ds \\
&\quad + c_2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau}\int_{|x|\geq k} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)} z^2(s,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega) g^2(s,x) dx ds \\
&\leq \eta \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\lambda(s-\tau)} \|v(s,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t})\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds + \eta z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s} z^2(s,\omega) ds \\
&\quad + c_2 z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^{0}\int_{|x|\geq k} e^{\lambda s} z^2(s,\omega) g^2(s+\tau,x) dx ds. \qquad (5.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $v_{\tau-t} \in D(\tau-t,\theta_{2,-t}\omega)$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}$ we see that there exists $T_1 = T_1(\tau,\omega,D,\eta) > 0$ such that for all $t \geq T_1$,

$$e^{-\lambda t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2})|v_{\tau-t}(x)|^2 dx \leq e^{-\lambda t}\|v_{\tau-t}\|^2 \leq e^{-\lambda t}\|D(\tau-t,\theta_{2,-t}\omega)\|^2 \leq \eta. \qquad (5.22)$$

On the other hand, by (4.8) and (4.16) one can check

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\lambda s} z^2(s,\omega) g^2(s+\tau,x) dx ds = \int_{-\infty}^{0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(\lambda-\delta)s} z^2(s,\omega) e^{\delta s} g^2(s+\tau,x) dx ds < \infty,$$

which implies that there exists $K_3 = K_3(\tau,\omega,\eta) \geq K_2$ such that for all $k \geq K_3$,

$$c_2 z^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^{0}\int_{|x|\geq k} e^{\lambda s} z^2(s,\omega) g^2(s+\tau,x) dx ds \leq \eta. \qquad (5.23)$$

It follows from (5.21)-(5.23) and Lemma 5.1 that there exists $T_2 = T_2(\tau, \omega, D, \eta) \geq T_1$ such that for all $t \geq T_2$ and $k \geq K_3$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2})|v(\tau, \tau - t, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau-t})|^2 dx \leq c\eta + c\eta z^{-2}(-\tau, \omega) \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s} z^2(s, \omega) ds$$

$$+ c\eta z^{-2}(-\tau, \omega) \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s} z^2(s, \omega) \|g(s + \tau, \cdot)\|^2 ds. \tag{5.24}$$

Since $\rho(s) = 1$ for $s \geq 2$ we have

$$\int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{2}k} |v(\tau, \tau - t, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau-t})|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2})|v(\tau, \tau - t, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau-t})|^2 dx. \tag{5.25}$$

By (5.24)-(5.25) we get (5.13). This completes the proof. □

6 Tempered Attractors for Reaction-Diffusion Equations

In this section, we prove the existence of tempered random attractors for problem (4.1)-(4.2). We first derive uniform estimates for the cocycle Φ as defined by (4.13).

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.16) *hold. Then for every* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ *and* $D = \{D(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}$, *there exists* $T = T(\tau, \omega, D) \geq 1$ *such that for all* $t \geq T$,

$$\|u(\tau, \tau - t, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, u_{\tau-t})\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s} z^2(s, \omega) \left(1 + \|g(s + \tau, \cdot)\|^2\right) ds, \tag{6.1}$$

where $u_{\tau-t} \in D(\tau - t, \theta_{2,-t}\omega)$ *and* c *is a positive constant independent of* τ, ω, D *and* α.

Proof. Given $D = \{D(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}$, define a new family $\bar{D}$ for D as follows:

$$\bar{D} = \left\{\bar{D}(\tau, \omega) : \ \bar{D}(\tau, \omega) = \{v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|v\| \leq z^{-1}(-\tau, \omega)\|D(\tau, \omega)\|\}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\right\}. \tag{6.2}$$

Since $D \in \mathcal{D}$, by (4.8) one can check $\bar{D}$ also belongs to D, i.e., $\bar{D}$ is tempered. Since $u_{\tau-t} \in D(\tau - t, \theta_{2,-t}\omega)$, we find that $v_{\tau-t} = z(\tau - t, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)u_{\tau-t}$ satisfies

$$\|v_{\tau-t}\| = \|z(\tau - t, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)u_{\tau-t}\| \leq z^{-1}(t - \tau, \theta_{2,-t}\omega) \ \|D(\tau - t, \theta_{2,-t}\omega)\|. \tag{6.3}$$

By (6.2)-(6.3) we see that $v_{\tau-t} \in \tilde{D}(\tau - t, \theta_{2,-t}\omega)$. Since $\tilde{D} \in \mathcal{D}$, by Lemmas 5.1 and 5.4, there exists $T = T(\tau, \omega, D) \geq 1$ such that for all $t \geq T$,

$$\|v(\tau, \tau - t, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, v_{\tau-t})\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

$$\leq cz^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s} z^2(s,\omega)\|g(s+\tau,\cdot)\|^2 ds + cz^{-2}(-\tau,\omega)\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s} z^2(s,\omega) ds. \qquad (6.4)$$

Note that (4.10) implies

$$v(\tau,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,v_{\tau-t}) = z(\tau,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega)\; u(\tau,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t}) = z^{-1}(-\tau,\omega)\; u(\tau,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t}),$$

which along with (6.4) completes the proof. □

By an argument similar to Lemma 6.1, one can establish the following uniform estimates on the tails of solutions of problem (4.1)-(4.2).

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.16) *hold. Let* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ *and* $D = \{D(\tau,\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}$. *Then for every* $\eta > 0$, *there exist* $T = T(\tau,\omega,D,\eta) \geq 1$ *and* $K = K(\tau,\omega,\eta) \geq 1$ *such that for all* $t \geq T$,

$$\int_{|x|\geq K} |u(\tau,\tau-t,\theta_{2,-\tau}\omega,u_{\tau-t})(x)|^2 dx \leq \eta, \qquad (6.5)$$

where $u_{\tau-t} \in D(\tau-t,\theta_{2,-t}\omega)$.

Proof. Following the proof of Lemma 6.1, one can obtain (6.5) from Lemma 5.5 directly. The details are omitted. □

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.17) *hold. Then the continuous cocycle* Φ *associated with problem* (4.1)-(4.2) *has a closed measurable* $\mathcal{D}$*-pullback absorbing set* $K \in \mathcal{D}$ *which is given by, for each* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$K(\tau,\omega) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|u\|^2 \leq M(\tau,\omega)\}, \qquad (6.6)$$

where $M(\tau,\omega)$ *is the number given by the right-hand side of* (6.1).

Proof. It is clear that for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $M(\tau,\cdot) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is $(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$-measurable, and hence $K(\tau,\cdot) : \Omega \to 2^H$ is a measurable set-valued mapping. By (4.8) and (4.17), after some calculations, one can check that $K = \{K(\tau,\omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\}$ is tempered, i.e., $K \in \mathcal{D}$. This along with Lemma 6.1 shows that K is a closed measurable $\mathcal{D}$-pullback absorbing set for Φ in $\mathcal{D}$. □

We now prove the $\mathcal{D}$-pullback asymptotic compactness of solutions of problem (4.1)-(4.2).

Lemma 6.4. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.17) *hold. Then the continuous cocycle* Φ *associated with problem* (4.1)-(4.2) *is* $\mathcal{D}$*-pullback asymptotically compact in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *that is, for every* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $D \in \mathcal{D}$, $t_n \to \infty$ *and* $u_{0,n} \in D(\tau-t_n,\theta_{2,-t_n}\omega)$, *the sequence* $\Phi(t_n,\tau-t_n,\theta_{2,-t_n}\omega,u_{0,n})$ *has a convergent subsequence in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Given $K > 0$, let $Q_K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \le K\}$ and $Q_K^c = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus Q_K$. By Lemma 6.2 we find that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, there exist $K = K(\tau, \omega, \varepsilon) \ge 1$ and $N_1 = N_1(\tau, \omega, D, \varepsilon) \ge 1$ such that for all $n \ge N_1$,

$$\|\Phi(t_n, \tau - t_n, \theta_{2,-t_n}\omega, u_{0,n})\|_{L^2(Q_K^c)} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{6.7}$$

On the other hand, By Lemma 6.1 there exists $N_2 = N_2(\tau, \omega, D, \varepsilon) \ge N_1$ such that for all $n \ge N_2$,

$$\|\Phi(t_n, \tau - t_n, \theta_{2,-t_n}\omega, u_{0,n})\|_{H^1(Q_K)} \le L(\tau, \omega),$$

where $L(\tau, \omega)$ is a positive constant. Then the compact embedding $H^1(Q_K) \hookrightarrow L^2(Q_K)$ together with (6.7) implies $\{\Phi(t_n, \tau - t_n, \theta_{2,-t_n}\omega, u_{0,n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a finite covering in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of balls of radii less than ε, and hence $\{\Phi(t_n, \tau - t_n, \theta_{2,-t_n}\omega, u_{0,n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is precompact in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

We are now ready to present the existence of tempered pullback attractors for problem (4.1)-(4.2).

Theorem 6.5. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.17) *hold. Then the continuous cocycle* Φ *associated with problem* (4.1)-(4.2) *has a unique* $\mathcal{D}$*-pullback attractor* $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R},\ \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}$ *in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$*. Moreover, for each* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\mathcal{A}(\tau, \omega) = \Omega(K, \tau, \omega) = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{D}} \Omega(B, \tau, \omega) \tag{6.8}$$

$$= \{\psi(0, \tau, \omega) : \psi \textit{ is a } \mathcal{D}\textit{−complete orbit of } \Phi\}. \tag{6.9}$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 6.3, 6.4 and Proposition 2.10. □

We now consider the periodicity of the $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor obtained in Theorem 6.5. Suppose $g : \mathbb{R} \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is T-periodic for some $T > 0$ and $g \in L^2((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. In this case, g satisfies (4.17) for any $\delta > 0$ and the cocycle Φ is T-periodic. Indeed, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$\Phi(t, \tau + T, \omega, \cdot) = u(t + \tau + T, \tau + T, \theta_{2,-\tau-T}\omega, \cdot) = u(t + \tau, \tau, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, \cdot) = \Phi(t, \tau, \omega, \cdot).$$

On the other hand, by (6.1) we find that the $\mathcal{D}$-pullback absorbing set K of Φ given by (6.6) is also T-periodic, i.e., $K(\tau + T, \omega) = K(\tau, \omega)$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Then by Proposition 2.11, we obtain the periodicity of the $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor which is stated below.

Theorem 6.6. *Let* (4.3)-(4.6) *hold. Suppose* $g : \mathbb{R} \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is periodic with period* $T > 0$ *and* $g \in L^2((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$*. Then the continuous cocycle* Φ *associated with problem* (4.1)-(4.2) *has a unique* T*-periodic* $\mathcal{D}$*-pullback attractor* $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{D}$ *in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$*.*

7 Convergence of Attractors for Reaction-Diffusion Equations

In this section, we prove the upper semicontinuity of tempered attractors of problem (4.1)-(4.2) when $\alpha \to 0$. To indicate the dependence of solutions on α, we will write the solution of problem (4.1)-(4.2) as u_α, and the corresponding cocycle as Φ_α. Similarly, we write the solution of problem (4.11)-(4.12) as v_α, that is, v_α satisfies

$$\frac{\partial v_\alpha}{\partial t} + \lambda v_\alpha - \Delta v_\alpha = e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} f\left(x, e^{\alpha\omega(t)} v_\alpha\right) + e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} g(t,x), \tag{7.1}$$

with initial condition

$$v_\alpha(\tau, x) = v_{\alpha,\tau}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{7.2}$$

When $\alpha = 0$, the stochastic problem (4.1)-(4.2) reduces to a deterministic one:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \lambda u - \Delta u = f(x,u) + g(t,x), \tag{7.3}$$

with initial condition

$$u(\tau, x) = u_\tau(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{7.4}$$

Throughout this section, we assume $\alpha \in [0,1]$. It follows from Theorem 6.5 that, for every positive α, Φ_α has a $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$. Let Φ_0 be the continuous deterministic cocycle associated with problem (7.3)-(7.4) on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ over $(\mathbb{R}, \{\theta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_0$ the collection of tempered families of deterministic nonempty subsets of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \{D = \{D(\tau) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} : \lim_{t\to-\infty} e^{ct}\|D(\tau+t)\| = 0, \ \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall\ c > 0\}.$$

Under conditions (4.3)-(4.6) and (4.17), it can be proved that Φ_0 has a unique $\mathcal{D}_0$-pullback attractor $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{\mathcal{A}(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [38]). Notice that the existence of $\mathcal{D}_0$-pullback attractors for Φ_0 is also implied by Theorem 6.5 as a special case.

Given $0 < \alpha \le 1$, let K_α be the $\mathcal{D}$-pullback absorbing set of Φ_α as defined by (6.6), i.e.,

$$K_\alpha = \left\{K_\alpha(\tau,\omega) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \ \|u\| \le M_\alpha(\tau,\omega)\} : \ \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ \omega \in \Omega\right\}, \tag{7.5}$$

where $M_\alpha(\tau,\omega)$ is given by

$$M_\alpha(\tau,\omega) = c\left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s} e^{-2\alpha\omega(s)} \left(1 + \|g(s+\tau,\cdot)\|^2\right) ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{7.6}$$

Note that the positive constant c in (7.6) is independent of τ, ω and α. Similarly, let K_0 be a family of subsets of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$K_0 = \left\{K_0(\tau) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \ \|u\| \le M_0(\tau)\} : \ \tau \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \tag{7.7}$$

where $M_0(\tau)$ is the constant:

$$M_0(\tau) = c \left(\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s} \left(1 + \|g(s+\tau, \cdot)\|^2\right) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{7.8}$$

It is evident that Lemma 6.1 implies that K_0 is a $\mathcal{D}_0$-pullback absorbing set of Φ_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, denote by

$$B(\tau, \omega) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \ \|u\| \le R(\tau, \omega)\}, \tag{7.9}$$

where $R(\tau, \omega)$ is given by

$$R(\tau, \omega) = c \left(\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s} e^{2|\omega(s)|} \left(1 + \|g(s+\tau, \cdot)\|^2\right) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{7.10}$$

By (7.5)-(7.6) and (7.9)-(7.10) we have $K_\alpha(\tau, \omega) \subseteq B(\tau, \omega)$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. This implies that for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\bigcup_{0<\alpha\le 1} \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\tau, \omega) \subseteq \bigcup_{0<\alpha\le 1} K_\alpha(\tau, \omega) \subseteq B(\tau, \omega). \tag{7.11}$$

By Lemma 6.1 we find that, for every $0 < \alpha \le 1$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, there exists $T > 0$ such that for all $t \ge T$,

$$\|\Phi_\alpha(t, \tau - t, \theta_{2,-t}\omega, \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\tau - t, \theta_{2,-t}\omega))\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le R(\tau, \omega), \tag{7.12}$$

where $R(\tau, \omega)$ is given by (7.10). By (7.12) and the invariance of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$, we get that, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le R(\tau, \omega) \quad \text{ for all } \ u \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\tau, \omega) \ \text{ with } \ 0 < \alpha \le 1. \tag{7.13}$$

We will use (7.13) to prove the precompactness of the union of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 < \alpha \le 1$.

In the sequel, we further assume the nonlinear function f satisfies, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(x, s)\right| \le c|s|^{p-2} + \psi_4(x), \tag{7.14}$$

where c is a positive constant, $\psi_4 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $p = 2$, and $\psi_4 \in L^{\frac{p}{p-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $p > 2$.

We will investigate the convergence of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ as $\alpha \to 0$. To that end, we first derive the convergence of solutions of problem (4.1)-(4.2) as $\alpha \to 0$.

Lemma 7.1. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (7.14) *hold. Let v_α and u be the solutions of* (7.1) *and* (7.3) *with initial conditions $v_{\alpha,\tau}$ and u_τ, respectively. Then, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $T > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, there exists a positive number $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(\tau, \omega, T, \varepsilon)$ such that for all $\alpha \le \alpha_0$ and $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,*

$$\|v_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau}) - u(t, \tau, \omega)\|^2 \le c e^{c(t-\tau)} \|v_{\alpha,\tau} - u_\tau\|^2$$

$$+ c\varepsilon e^{c(t-\tau)} \left(T + \|u_\tau\|^2 + \|u_{\alpha,\tau}\|^2 + \int_\tau^t \|g(s,\cdot)\|^2 ds \right), \tag{7.15}$$

where c is a positive constant independent of τ, ω, ε and α.

Proof. Let $\kappa = v_\alpha - u$. Then by (7.1) and (7.3) we get

$$\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial t} + \lambda\kappa - \Delta\kappa = e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} f\left(x, e^{\alpha\omega(t)} v_\alpha\right) - f(x,u) + \left(e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} - 1\right) g(t,x). \tag{7.16}$$

Given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $T > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in [0,1)$, since ω is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, we find that there exists $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(\tau,\omega,T,\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $\alpha \in [0,\alpha_0]$ and $t \in [\tau, \tau+T]$,

$$|e^{\alpha\omega(t)} - 1| + |e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} - 1| < \varepsilon. \tag{7.17}$$

Multiplying (7.16) by κ and then integrating over $\mathbb{R}^n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\kappa\|^2 + \lambda\|\kappa\|^2 + \|\nabla\kappa\|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} f\left(x, e^{\alpha\omega(t)} v_\alpha\right) - f(x,u)\right)\kappa dx + \left(e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} - 1\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t,x)\kappa dx. \end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

By (4.4)-(4.5) and (7.14) we have the following estimates on the first term on the right-hand side of (7.16)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} f(x, e^{\alpha\omega(t)} v_\alpha) - f(x,u)\right)\kappa dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} \left(f(x, e^{\alpha\omega(t)} v_\alpha) - f(x, e^{\alpha\omega(t)} u)\right)\kappa dx \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} f(x, e^{\alpha\omega(t)} u) - f(x, e^{\alpha\omega(t)} u)\right)\kappa dx &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(f(x, e^{\alpha\omega(t)} u) - f(x,u)\right)\kappa dx \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \kappa^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(x,s) dx + \left(e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} - 1\right) &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x, e^{\alpha\omega(t)} u)\kappa dx + \left(e^{\alpha\omega(t)} - 1\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \kappa u \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(x,s) dx \\ \le \alpha_3\|\kappa\|^2 + |e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} - 1| &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\alpha_2 e^{\alpha(p-1)\omega(t)} |u|^{p-1}|\kappa| + \psi_2|\kappa|\right) dx \\ + |e^{\alpha\omega(t)} - 1| &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(c(1 + e^{\alpha(p-2)\omega(t)})|u|^{p-1}|\kappa| + \psi_4|u||\kappa|\right) dx \\ \le \alpha_3\|\kappa\|^2 + c_1|e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} - 1| &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(e^{\alpha(p-1)\omega(t)}(|u|^p + |v_\alpha|^p) + |\psi_2|^2 + |\kappa|^2\right) dx \\ + c_1|e^{\alpha\omega(t)} - 1| &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left((1 + e^{\alpha(p-2)\omega(t)})(|u|^p + |v_\alpha|^p) + |\psi_4|^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

By (7.17) and (7.19) we get for all $\alpha \in [0,\alpha_0]$ and $t \in [\tau, \tau+T]$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} f(x, e^{\alpha\omega(t)} v_\alpha) - f(x,u)\right)\kappa dx \le c_2\|\kappa\|^2 + c_2\varepsilon + c_2\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|u|^p + |v_\alpha|^p) dx. \tag{7.20}$$

For the last term on the right-hand side of (7.18), by (7.17) we have, for all $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]$ and $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,

$$\left(e^{-\alpha\omega(t)} - 1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t, x)\kappa dx \le \varepsilon\|\kappa\|^2 + \varepsilon\|g(t, \cdot)\|^2. \tag{7.21}$$

It follows from (7.18) and (7.20)-(7.21) that for all $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]$ and $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\kappa\|^2 \le c_3\|\kappa\|^2 + c_4\varepsilon \left(\|u\|_p^p + \|v_\alpha\|_p^p + \|g(t, \cdot)\|^2\right). \tag{7.22}$$

By (7.22) we get, for all $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]$ and $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,

$$\|\kappa(t)\|^2 \le e^{c_3(t-\tau)}\|\kappa(\tau)\|^2 + c_4\varepsilon e^{c_3(t-\tau)} \int_\tau^t \left(\|v_\alpha(s, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau})\|_p^p + \|u(s, \tau, u_\tau)\|_p^p + \|g(s, \cdot)\|^2\right) ds. \tag{7.23}$$

On the other hand, by (7.17) and Lemma 5.3 we obtain, for all $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]$ and $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau})\|^2 + \int_\tau^t z^2(s, \omega)\|u_\alpha(s, \tau, \omega, u_\tau)\|_p^p ds \\ &\le e^{\lambda(t-\tau)} \left(e^{-2\alpha\omega(\tau)}\|u_{\alpha,\tau}\|^2 + c\int_\tau^t e^{-2\alpha\omega(s)}(1 + \|g(s, \cdot)\|^2) ds\right) \\ &\le ce^{\lambda(t-\tau)} \left(T + \|u_{\alpha,\tau}\|^2 + \int_\tau^t \|g(s, \cdot)\|^2 ds\right). \end{aligned} \tag{7.24}$$

Note that Lemma 5.3 is also valid for $\alpha = 0$. Therefore we have, for all $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,

$$\int_\tau^t \|u(s, \tau, u_\tau)\|_p^p ds \le ce^{\lambda(t-\tau)} \left(T + \|u_\tau\|^2 + \int_\tau^t \|g(s, \cdot)\|^2 ds\right). \tag{7.25}$$

By (7.17) we find that, for all $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]$ and $s \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,

$$\|v_\alpha(s, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau})\|_p^p = z^p(s, \omega)\|u_\alpha(s, \tau, \omega, u_{\alpha,\tau})\|_p^p \le cz^2(s, \omega)\|u_\alpha(s, \tau, \omega, u_{\alpha,\tau})\|_p^p,$$

which along with (7.24) shows that, for all $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]$ and $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,

$$\|v_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau})\|^2 + \int_\tau^t \|v_\alpha(s, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau})\|_p^p ds \le ce^{\lambda(t-\tau)} \left(T + \|u_{\alpha,\tau}\|^2 + \int_\tau^t \|g(s, \cdot)\|^2 ds\right). \tag{7.26}$$

It follows from (7.23) and (7.25)-(7.26) that, for all $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]$ and $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau}) - u(t, \tau, \omega)\|^2 &\le e^{c_3(t-\tau)}\|v_{\alpha,\tau} - u_\tau\|^2 \\ &+ c_5\varepsilon e^{c_5(t-\tau)} \left(T + \|u_\tau\|^2 + \|u_{\alpha,\tau}\|^2 + \int_\tau^t \|g(s, \cdot)\|^2 ds\right). \end{aligned} \tag{7.27}$$

This completes the proof. □

As a consequence of Lemma 7.1 we have the following estimates for $u_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, u_{\alpha,\tau})$.

Corollary 7.2. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (7.14) *hold. Then, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $T > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$, there exists a positive number $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(\tau, \omega, T, \varepsilon)$ such that for all $\alpha \le \alpha_0$ and $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,*

$$\|u_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, u_{\alpha,\tau}) - u(t, \tau, \omega)\|^2 \le ce^{c(t-\tau)}\|u_{\alpha,\tau} - u_\tau\|^2$$
$$+c\varepsilon e^{c(t-\tau)} \left(T + \|u_\tau\|^2 + \|u_{\alpha,\tau}\|^2 + \int_\tau^t \|g(s, \cdot)\|^2 ds \right),$$

where c is a positive constant independent of τ, ω, ε and α.

Proof. It follows from (7.17) that, for all $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]$ and $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$,

$$\|u_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, u_{\alpha,\tau}) - u(t, \tau, \omega)\|^2 \le 2\|u_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, u_{\alpha,\tau}) - v_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau})\|^2 + 2\|v_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau}) - u(t, \tau, \omega)\|^2$$
$$\le 2\left|e^{\alpha\omega(t)} - 1\right|^2 \|v_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau})\|^2 + 2\|v_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau}) - u(t, \tau, \omega)\|^2$$
$$\le 2\varepsilon^2\|v_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau})\|^2 + 2\|v_\alpha(t, \tau, \omega, v_{\alpha,\tau}) - u(t, \tau, \omega)\|^2,$$

which along with (7.15), (7.17) and (7.26) completes the proof. □

Next, we present uniform estimates of solutions with respect to the intensity α of noise. These estimates are needed for establishing the upper semicontinuity of pullback attractors. By carefully examining the proof of Lemmas 5.1, 5.4 and 6.1, we get following uniform estimates.

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.16) *hold. Then for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $D = \{D(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $T = T(\tau, \omega, D) \ge 1$ such that for all $t \ge T$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\|u_\alpha(\tau, \tau - t, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, u_{\alpha,\tau-t})\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s} e^{2|\omega(s)|} \left(1 + \|g(s + \tau, \cdot)\|^2\right) ds,$$

where $u_{\alpha,\tau-t} \in D(\tau - t, \theta_{2,-t}\omega)$ and c is a positive constant independent of τ, ω, D and α.

Based on Lemma 7.3, from the proof of Lemmas 5.5 and 6.2 we get the following estimates on the tails of solutions.

Lemma 7.4. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.16) *hold. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $D = \{D(\tau, \omega) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}$. Then for every $\eta > 0$, there exist $T = T(\tau, \omega, D, \eta) \ge 1$ and $K = K(\tau, \omega, \eta) \ge 1$ such that for all $t \ge T$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\int_{|x| \ge K} |u_\alpha(\tau, \tau - t, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, u_{\alpha,\tau-t})(x)|^2 dx \le \eta,$$

where $u_{\alpha,\tau-t} \in D(\tau - t, \theta_{2,-t}\omega)$.

We now prove the precompactness of the union of pullback attractors.

Lemma 7.5. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6) *and* (4.17) *hold. Then for every* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\omega \in \Omega$, *the union* $\bigcup\limits_{0<\alpha\leq 1} \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\tau, \omega)$ *is precompact in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. We only need to show that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, the set $\bigcup\limits_{0<\alpha\leq 1} \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\tau, \omega)$ has a finite covering of balls of radius less than ε. Let B be the family of subsets of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by (7.9). Then it follows from Lemma 7.4 that there exist $T = T(\tau, \omega, \varepsilon) \geq 1$ and $L = L(\tau, \omega, \varepsilon) \geq 1$ such that for all $t \geq T$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$,

$$\int_{|x|\geq L} u_\alpha(\tau, \tau - t, \theta_{2,-\tau}\omega, u_{\alpha,\tau-t})(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \tag{7.28}$$

where $u_{\alpha,\tau-t} \in B(\tau - t, \theta_{2,-t}\omega)$. By (7.11) and (7.28), we get from the invariance of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ that, for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\int_{|x|\geq L} |u(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \qquad \text{for all } \ u \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\tau, \omega) \ \text{ with } \ 0 < \alpha \leq 1. \tag{7.29}$$

By (7.13) we see the set $\bigcup\limits_{0<\alpha\leq 1} \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\tau, \omega)$ is bounded in $H^1(Q)$ with $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < L\}$. Then the compactness of embedding $H^1(Q) \subseteq L^2(Q)$ implies that the set $\bigcup\limits_{0<\alpha\leq 1} \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\tau, \omega)$ has a finite covering of balls of radii less than $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ in $L^2(Q)$, which together with (7.29) completes the proof. $\square$

We are now in a position to present the upper semicontinuity of pullback attractors for the stochastic equation (4.1).

Theorem 7.6. *Suppose* (4.3)-(4.6), (4.17) *and* (7.14) *hold. Then for every* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 0} \operatorname{dist}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha(\tau, \omega), \mathcal{A}_0(\tau)) = 0. \tag{7.30}$$

Proof. Let K_α and K_0 be the families of subsets of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by (7.5) and (7.7), respectively. Then we know K_α is a $\mathcal{D}$-pullback absorbing set of Φ_α, and K_0 is a $\mathcal{D}_0$-pullback absorbing set of Φ_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By (7.5)-(7.8) we have, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\limsup_{\alpha\to 0} \|K_\alpha(\tau, \omega)\| = \limsup_{\alpha\to 0} M_\alpha(\tau, \omega) = M_0(\tau) = \|K_0(\tau)\|. \tag{7.31}$$

Take a sequence $\alpha_n \to 0$ and $u_{0,n} \to u_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Corollary 7.2 we get, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\Phi_{\alpha_n}(t, \tau, \omega, u_{0,n}) \to \Phi(t, \tau, u_0) \quad \text{ in } \ L^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{7.32}$$

From (7.31)-(7.32) we see that Φ_α and Φ_0 satisfy conditions (3.16)-(3.18). On the other hand, By Lemma 7.5 we find that $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ also satisfies (3.4). Thus (7.30) follows from Theorem 3.2 immediately.

$\square$

References

[1] L. Arnold, *Random Dynamical Systems*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[2] A.V. Babin and M.I. Vishik, *Attractors of Evolution Equations*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.

[3] J.M. Ball, Continuity properties and global attractors of generalized semiflows and the Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Nonl. Sci.*, **7** (1997), 475-502.

[4] P.W. Bates, H. Lisei and K. Lu, Attractors for stochastic lattice dynamical systems, *Stoch. Dyn.*, **6** (2006), 1-21.

[5] P.W. Bates, K. Lu and B. Wang, Random attractors for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on unbounded domains, *J. Differential Equations*, **246** (2009), 845-869.

[6] T. Caraballo, J. A. Langa; On the upper semicontinuity of cocycle attractors for non-autonomous and random dynamical systems, *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems Series A: Mathematical Analysis*, **10** (2003), 491-513.

[7] T. Caraballo, J. Real, I.D. Chueshov, Pullback attractors for stochastic heat equations in materials with memory, *Discrete Continuous Dynamical Systems B*, **9** (2008), 525-539.

[8] T. Caraballo, M. J. Garrido-Atienza, B. Schmalfuss, and J. Valero, Non-autonomous and random attractors for delay random semilinear equations without uniqueness, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **21** (2008), 415-443

[9] T. Caraballo, M.J. Garrido-Atienza, B. Schmalfuss and J. Valero, Asymptotic behaviour of a stochastic semilinear dissipative functional equation without uniqueness of solutions, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, **14** (2010), 439-455.

[10] T. Caraballo, M.J. Garrido-Atienza and T. Taniguchi, The existence and exponential behavior of solutions to stochastic delay evolution equations with a fractional Brownian motion, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **74** (2011), 3671-3684.

[11] A. N. Carvalho and J. A. Langa Non-autonomous perturbation of autonomous semilinear differential equations: continuity of local stable and unstable manifolds, *J. Differential Equations*, **233** (2007), 622-653.

[12] A. N. Carvalho and J. A. Langa, An extension of the concept of gradient semigroups which is stable under perturbation, *J. Differential Equations*, **246** (2009), 2646-2668.

[13] I. Chueshow, *Monotone Random Systems - Theory and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1779, Springer, Berlin, 2001.

[14] I. Chueshov and M. Scheutzow, On the structure of attractors and invariant measures for a class of monotone random systems, *Dynamical Systems*, **19** (2004), 127-144.

[15] H. Crauel and F. Flandoli, Attractors for random dynamical systems, *Probab. Th. Re. Fields*, **100** (1994), 365-393.

[16] H. Crauel, A. Debussche and F. Flandoli, Random attractors, *J. Dyn. Diff. Eqns.*, **9** (1997), 307-341.

[17] J. Duan, K. Lu and B. Schmalfuss, Invariant manifolds for stochastic partial differential equations, *Ann. Probab.*, **31** (2003), 2109-2135.

[18] J. Duan, K. Lu and B. Schmalfuss, Smooth stable and unstable manifolds for stochastic evolutionary equations, *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, **16** (2004), 949-972.

[19] J. Duan and B. Schmalfuss, The 3D quasigeostrophic fluid dynamics under random forcing on boundary, *Comm. Math. Sci.*, **1** (2003), 133-151.

[20] F. Flandoli and B. Schmalfuss, Random attractors for the 3D stochastic Navier-Stokes equation with multiplicative noise, *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.*, **59** (1996), 21-45.

[21] M.J. Garrido-Atienza and B. Schmalfuss, Ergodicity of the infinite dimensional fractional Brownian motion, *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, *23* (2011), 671-681.

[22] M.J. Garrido-Atienza, A. Ogrowsky and B. Schmalfuss, Random differential equations with random delays, *Stoch. Dyn.*, **11** (2011), 369-388.

[23] J.K. Hale, Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.

[24] J. K. Hale, X. Lin and G. Raugel, Upper semicontinuity of attractors for approximations of semigroups and PDE's, *Math. Comp.*, **50** (1988), 89-123.

[25] J. K. Hale and G. Raugel, Upper semicontinuity of the attractor for a singularly perturbed hyperbolic equation, *J. Differential Equations*, **73** (1988), 197-214.

[26] J. Huang and W. Shen, Pullback attractors for nonautonomous and random parabolic equations on non-smooth domains, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, **24** (2009), 855-882.

[27] P.E. Kloeden and J.A. Langa, Flattening, squeezing and the existence of random attractors, *Proc. Royal Soc. London Serie A.*, **463** (2007), 163-181.

[28] J. A. Langa and A. Suarez, Pullback permanence for non-autonomous partial differential equations, *Electronic J. Differential Equations*, **2002** (2002), No. 72, 1-20.

[29] Z. Lian and K. Lu, Lyapunov exponents and invariant manifolds for infinite-dimensional random dynamical systems in a Banach space, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **206** (2010), No. 967, 1-106.

[30] Y. Lv and W. Wang, Limiting dynamics for stochastic wave equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **244** (2008), 1-23.

[31] S.-E. A. Mohammed, T. Zhang and H. Zhao, The stable manifold theorem for semilinear stochastic evolution equations and stochastic partial differential equations, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **196** (2008), No. 917, 1-105.

[32] B. Schmalfuss, Backward cocycles and attractors of stochastic differential equations, *International Seminar on Applied Mathematics-Nonlinear Dynamics: Attractor Approximation and Global Behavior*, 1992, 185-192.

[33] R. Sell and Y. You, Dynamics of Evolutionary Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[34] R. Temam, Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[35] B. Wang, Asymptotic behavior of stochastic wave equations with critical exponents on $\mathbb{R}^3$, *Transactions of American Mathematical Society*, **363** (2011), 3639-3663.

[36] B. Wang, Sufficient and necessary criteria for existence of pullback attractors for non-compact random dynamical systems, *arXiv:1202.2390v2 [math.AP]* (to appear in J. Differential Equations).

[37] B. Wang, Upper semicontinuity of random attractors for non-compact random dynamical systems, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **2009** (2009), No. 139, 1-18.

[38] B. Wang, Pullback attractors for non-autonomous reaction-diffusion equations on $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Frontiers of Mathematics in China*, **4** (2009), 563-583.